



Interview Summary

Application No. 09/825,896

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Rebecca Cook Group Art Unit

Steiner et al

(1) Rebecca Cook (2) Rouget Henschel		(3)	
		(4)	
Date of Interview	Dec 13, 2001		
	c b) ☐ Video Conference copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demon	stration conducted: d)	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:	
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art	discussed:		
Substance of Interview is any other comments:	including description of the genera	d. g) was not reached. h) N/A. al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or wn.	
Amendment to overcom	e rejection under 35 U.S.C 112, s	second paragraph was discussed.	
Double-patenting rejection			
allowable, if available, m		ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is	
i) 🛛 It is not necess	ary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).	
INCLUDE THE SUBSTAN	ICE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See M	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

REBECCA COOK PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1614

SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached